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#### Abstract

The present report describes the synthesis and antiproliferative evaluation of certain 4-anilino-8-methoxy-2-phenylquinoline and 4-anilino-8-hydroxy-2-phenylquinoline derivatives. The antiproliferative activity of $4^{\prime}$-COMe-substituted derivatives decreased in an order of 6 -OMe $(1,3.89 \mu \mathrm{M})>8$-OMe $(\mathbf{8}, 10.47 \mu \mathrm{M})>8-\mathrm{OH}(\mathbf{9}, 14.45 \mu \mathrm{M})$, indicating that the position of substitution at the quinoline ring is crucial. For $3^{\prime}$-COMe derivatives, the antiproliferative activity of $8-\mathrm{OH}(11,1.20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ is more potent than its 8 -OMe counterpart $(\mathbf{1 0}, 8.91 \mu \mathrm{M})$, indicating that a H -bonding donating substituent is more favorable than that of a H bonding accepting group. Comparison of $8-\mathrm{OH}$ derivatives, the antiproliferative effect of $\mathrm{COMe}(\mathbf{1 1})$ is more potent than its oxime derivative $(\mathbf{1 5 a}, 2.88 \mu \mathrm{M})$, which in turn is more potent than the methyloxime counterpart $(\mathbf{1 5 b}, 5.50 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ is especially active against the growth of certain solid cancer cells such as HCT-116 (colon cancer), MCF7, and MDA-MB-435 (breast cancer) with $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values of $0.07,<0.01$, and $<0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Flow cytometric analyses revealed that growth inhibition by 11 and 15a was due to accumulation in S-phase. This result is interesting because 2-phenylquinolone derivatives have been reported to be antimitotic agents which induced cell cycle arrest in $\mathrm{G}_{2} / \mathrm{M}$ phase. © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.


## 1. Introduction

Quinolin-4(1H)-one moiety is a characteristic component of a large number of antibacterial and/or anticancer agents. ${ }^{1-5}$ The biological activity of these quinolone derivatives depends not only on the bicyclic heteroaromatic pharmacophore but also on the nature of the peripheral substituents and their spatial relationship. With a phenyl group appended on C-2 position of quinolin- $4(1 H)$-one, a number of 2-phenylquinolone derivatives have been discovered to possess antimitotic activity. ${ }^{6-8}$ Recently, we have synthesized certain AMSA analogs in which the tricyclic acridine was replaced with its isosteric furoquinoline for evaluation of their anticancer activity. ${ }^{9-12}$ Further modification of AMSA has been explored by the replacement of acridine with its isomeric 2-phenylquinoline, an aza-analog of antitumor 2-phenylnaphthalene skeleton which consists of a large

[^0]number of anticancer agents. ${ }^{13-17}$ Among them, 4-(4-acetylanilino)-6-methoxy-2-phenylquinoline (1) and its oxime (2a) and methyloxime (2b) derivatives exhibited potent antiproliferative activity with a mean $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ value of $3.89,3.02$, and $3.89 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. ${ }^{13}$ Structures of these antiproliferative agents can also be considered as the 4 -anilino-substituted derivatives of antimitotic 2-phenylquinolin- $4(1 H)$-ones. In continuation of our study to explore more potent anticancer drug candidates, we described herein the preparation and antiproliferative evaluation on 8 -substituted isomers of $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2 a}$, and $\mathbf{2 b}$ (Chart 1). A number of carboxamide derivatives comprising polycyclic chromophores and a flexible cationic side chain are known to show antiproliferative effects ${ }^{18-21}$ prompted us to prepare certain 4-(8-methoxy-2-phenylquinolin-4-ylamino)benzamides for evaluation. Selected compounds were further evaluated on their effect of cell cycle distribution.

## 2. Chemistry

The preparation of 4-anilino-2-phenylquinolines is illustrated in Schemes 1-3. Reaction of ethyl 3-chloro-2-


$\left(\right.$ Mean $\left.\mathrm{GI}_{50}=3.89 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$

$17 \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ $18 \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ $19 \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}$

Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (i) 4-aminobenzoic acid, pyridine, EtOH , reflux (49-52\%); (ii) a-CDI, DMF, rt, 18 h ; b-1 $1^{\circ}$-amine, rt, 5 h ( $21-84 \%$ ).
methoxy-2-phenylquinoline (6), which was reacted with $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ to give 4-bromo-8-hydroxy-2-phenylquinoline (7) in a fairly good overall yield (Scheme 1). Treatment of 6 with 4 -aminoacetophenone and 3-aminoacetophenone, respectively, afforded 4-(4-acetylanilino)-8-meth-oxy-2-phenylquinoline (8) and its 3 -substituted isomer 10, respectively, which were reacted with $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ to give exclusively $(E)$-oximes $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ and 14a, respectively. The configuration of the oxime moiety was determined by through-space nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy (NOESY) which revealed coupling connectivity to $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons. Accordingly, ( $E$ )-oximes 13a and 15a were obtained from 9 and 11, respectively, which in turn were prepared from 7 by the same reaction sequences. Reaction of $\mathbf{8}$ with $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ provided exclusively $(E)$-methyloxime 12b in $72 \%$ yield. ( $E$ )-Methyloximes 13b, 14b, and $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ were obtained, respectively, from 9,10 , and 11 by the same reaction sequences (Scheme 2). The configuration of the oxime and methyloxime moieties was further confirmed by the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. The carbon of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, which is syn to the OH moiety, shifted upfield ( $\delta$ value of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ is approximately 11.50 ppm for $(E)$-isomers), while that of the anti-isomer shifted downfield ( $\delta$ value of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ is approximately 18.75 ppm for ( $Z$ )isomers ${ }^{23}$.

Reaction of 6 with 4-aminobenzoic acid afforded 4-(8-methoxy-2-phenylquinolin-4-ylamino)benzoic acid (16), which was converted to 4-(8-methoxy-2-phenylquino-lin-4-ylamino)benzamides $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 9}$ in an overall yield of $21-84 \%$ (Scheme 3).

## 3. Pharmacological results and discussion

All compounds were evaluated in vitro against the full panel of 60 human tumor cell lines derived from nine cancer cell types (leukemia, non-small cell lung cancer, colon cancer, CNS cancer, melanoma, ovarian cancer, renal cancer, prostate cancer, and breast cancer). For each compound, dose-response curves for each cell line were measured with five different drug concentrations, the concentration causing $50 \%$ cell growth inhibition $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right)$ compared with the control was calculated ${ }^{24}$ and the results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The antiproliferative activity of $4^{\prime}$-COMe substituted derivatives decreasing in an order of $6-\mathrm{OMe}(1,3.89 \mu \mathrm{M})>8-\mathrm{OMe}$ $(8,10.47 \mu \mathrm{M})>8-\mathrm{OH}(9,14.45 \mu \mathrm{M})$ indicating that the

Table 1. Antiproliferative assay of 4-substituted 4-anilino-2-phenylquinoline derivatives $\left[\mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}\right]$

| Cell lines | Compound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2a | 2b | 8 | 9 | 12a | 12b | 13a | 13b |
| RPMI-8226 | 1.72 | 2.16 | 2.79 | 4.33 | 8.80 | 0.86 | 3.62 | 4.50 | 15.8 |
| NCI-H226 | 0.94 | 2.05 | 2.25 | 5.88 | 28.2 | 14.4 | 3.59 | 14.7 | 22.4 |
| HCT-116 | 1.54 | 1.52 | 1.76 | 1.23 | 5.79 | 1.79 | 1.54 | 13.2 | 22.2 |
| SF-295 | $<0.01$ | 1.53 | 2.02 | 22.9 | 37.2 | 2.89 | 1.97 | 5.24 | 12.7 |
| SK-MEL-5 | 1.60 | 1.62 | 1.77 | 54.1 | 13.0 | 3.18 | 2.62 | Nd ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 24.0 |
| SK-OV-3 | 16.1 | 2.32 | 15.2 | 38.3 | 57.1 | 14.9 | 20.3 | 27.4 | 18.8 |
| UO-31 | 6.20 | 41.3 | 5.19 | 28.5 | 14.0 | 1.74 | 2.45 | 14.8 | 19.6 |
| DU-145 | 14.1 | 1.57 | 5.36 | $>100$ | 21.8 | 10.0 | 8.96 | 13.5 | 14.1 |
| MCF7 | 2.47 | 1.47 | 5.01 | 54.1 | Nd ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 6.93 | 1.94 | $\mathrm{Nd}^{\text {d }}$ | Nd ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| NCI/ADR-RES | 2.78 | 2.25 | 2.61 | 2.69 | 5.53 | 5.79 | 3.43 | 8.55 | 14.5 |
| MDA-MB-231/ATCC | 0.04 | 0.73 | 1.23 | 2.52 | 24.0 | 12.4 | 2.87 | 2.46 | 15.0 |
| MDA-MB-435 | 0.04 | 1.79 | 1.76 | 5.18 | 23.6 | 7.46 | 2.13 | 6.72 | 15.5 |
| Mean ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3.89 | 3.02 | 3.89 | 10.47 | 14.45 | 6.02 | 4.26 | 10.71 | 16.59 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{GI}_{50}$ : drug molar concentration causing $50 \%$ cell growth inhibition.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Data obtained from NCI's in vitro disease-oriented tumor cell screen.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Mean values over all 60 cell lines tested. These cell lines are: leukemia (CCRF-CEM, HL-60 (TB), K-562, MOLT-4, PRMI-8226, and SR); nonsmall cell lung cancer (A549/ATCC, EKVX, HOP-62, HOP-92, NCI-H226, NCI-H23, NCI-H322M, and NCI-H522); colon cancer (COLC 205, HCC-2998, HCT-116, HCT-15, HT29, KM12, and SW-620); CNS cancer (SF-268, SF-295, SF-539, SNB-19, SNB-75, and U251); melanoma (LOX IMVI, MALME-3M, M14, SK-MEL-2, SK-MEL-28, SK-MEL-5, and UACC-257); ovarian cancer (IGR0V1, OVCAR-3, OVCAR-4, OVCAR-5, OVCAR-8, and SK-OV-3); renal cancer (786-0, A498, ACHN, CAKI-1, RXF 393, SN12C, TK-10, and UO-31); prostate cancer (PC-3 and DU145); and breast cancer (MCF7, MCF7/ADR-RES, MDA-MB-231/ATCC, HS 578T, MDA-MB-435, MDA-N, and T-47D).
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Not determined.

Table 2. Antiproliferative assay of 3-substituted 4-anilino-2-phenylquinoline and 4-carboxamide derivatives

| Cell lines | Compound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 | 11 | 14a | 14b | 15a | 15b | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| RPMI-8226 | 4.90 | 2.02 | 7.10 | 2.25 | 2.34 | 2.91 | $>100$ | 8.11 | 24.5 | 18.0 |
| NCI-H226 | 11.9 | 14.8 | 2.65 | 7.57 | 4.60 | 19.8 | $>100$ | 19.3 | 58.1 | $>100$ |
| HCT-116 | 2.25 | 0.07 | 1.48 | 2.09 | 1.48 | 3.21 | $>100$ | 3.88 | 34.6 | 30.9 |
| SF-295 | 3.66 | 1.58 | 10.8 | 2.24 | 1.31 | 2.17 | $>100$ | 22.9 | 40.9 | 33.1 |
| SK-MEL-5 | 7.61 | 0.46 | 10.7 | 3.39 | 2.49 | 16.6 | $>100$ | 17.3 | 38.6 | 31.0 |
| SK-OV-3 | 32.4 | 1.13 | 14.5 | $>100$ | 0.63 | 8.62 | $>100$ | 29.4 | 86.7 | $>100$ |
| UO-31 | 4.95 | 1.36 | 3.92 | 6.23 | 8.83 | 4.58 | $>100$ | 13.9 | $>100$ | 39.4 |
| DU-145 | 32.1 | 1.32 | 38.0 | 18.6 | 3.36 | 9.20 | >100 | 16.4 | 58.7 | 71.0 |
| MCF7 | 1.85 | $<0.01$ | 0.38 | $>100$ | 0.35 | Nd | Nd | Nd | Nd | 23.7 |
| NCI/ADR-RES | 7.01 | 0.10 | 4.62 | 3.43 | 0.98 | 2.02 | $>100$ | 18.3 | >100 | >100 |
| MDA-MB-231/ATCC | 5.35 | 1.64 | 4.71 | 2.75 | 2.02 | 2.74 | >100 | 4.15 | 25.5 | 19.9 |
| MDA-MB-435 | 2.30 | $<0.01$ | 3.19 | 2.07 | 0.25 | 1.97 | $>100$ | 22.0 | 27.8 | 35.9 |
| Mean | 8.91 | 1.20 | 6.31 | 6.02 | 2.88 | 5.50 | >100 | 14.45 | 28.84 | 41.69 |

position of substitution at quinoline ring is crucial. The same antiproliferative SAR was observed for oxime (2a, $3.02 \mu \mathrm{M}>12 \mathrm{a}, 6.02 \mu \mathrm{M}>\mathbf{1 3 a}, 10.71 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and methyloxime derivatives ( $\mathbf{2 b}, 3.89 \mu \mathrm{M}>\mathbf{1 2 b}, 4.26 \mu \mathrm{M}>\mathbf{1 3 b}$, $16.59 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in which $6-\mathrm{OMe}$ derivatives are preferred. For the substituent at $\mathrm{C}(4)$ position of anilino moiety, antiproliferative activity for oxime ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 3.02 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), methyloxime ( $\mathbf{2 b}, 3.89 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), and the ketone precursor ( $\mathbf{1}$, $3.89 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) is comparably indicated, a H -bonding accepting group at $\mathrm{C}(4)$ position of 4 -anilino-moiety is crucial. The same antiproliferative SAR was observed for 8-OH derivatives in which antiproliferative activity of 13a $(10.71 \mu \mathrm{M}), \mathbf{1 3 b}(16.59 \mu \mathrm{M})$, and $\mathbf{9}(14.45 \mu \mathrm{M})$ is comparable (Table 1).

The antiproliferative activity of 3 -substituted 4 -anili-no-2-phenylquinoline derivatives is summarized in Table 2. For $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{COMe}$ derivatives, the antiproliferative activity of $8-\mathrm{OH}(11,1.20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ is more potent than
its 8 -OMe counterpart $(\mathbf{1 0}, 8.91 \mu \mathrm{M})$ indicating that a H-bonding donating substituent is more favorable than that of a H-bonding accepting group. The same antiproliferative SAR was observed for oxime (15a, $2.88 \mu \mathrm{M}>\mathbf{1 4 a}, 6.31 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and methyloxime derivatives ( $\mathbf{1 5 b}, 5.50 \mu \mathrm{M}>\mathbf{1 4 b}, 6.02 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). For $8-\mathrm{OH}$ derivatives, the antiproliferative effect of $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{COMe}$ (11) is more potent than its oxime derivative (15a), which in turn is more potent than the methyloxime counterpart (15b). This antiproliferative SAR is not obvious for 8 -OMe derivatives in which the cytotoxicity of oxime (14a), methyloxime (14b), and their ketone precursor (10) is comparable. Compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ is especially active against the growth of certain solid cancer cells such as HCT-116 (colon cancer), MCF7, and MDA-MB435 (breast cancer) with $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values of $0.07,<0.01$, and $<0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Among those cancer cells tested, breast cancer cells (MCF7, NCI/ADRRES, MDA-MB-231/ATCC, and MDA-MB-435)

Table 3. Effects of selected 4-anilino-2-phenylquinolines on cell cycle progression

| Compound | Sub-G $\mathrm{G}_{1}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{G}_{0} / \mathrm{G}_{1}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{S}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{G}_{2} / \mathrm{M} \mathrm{( } \mathrm{\%)}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Control | 4.57 | 29.6 | 52.0 | 18.4 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}(3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ | 6.5 | 26.7 | 67.9 | 5.4 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}(10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ | 11.2 | 22.3 | 70.1 | 7.7 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 a}(3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ | 6.0 | 28.9 | 65.4 | 4.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 a}(10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ | 8.6 | 25.6 | 69.6 | 4.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}(3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ | 3.2 | 29.7 | 53.5 | 16.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}(10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ | 4.1 | 30.0 | 48.7 | 21.3 |

were found to be the most sensitive to $\mathbf{1 1}$ and 15a with $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values less than $2.02 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in each case. 4-(8-Methoxy-2-phenylquinolin-4-ylamino)benzoic acid (16) was devoid of antiproliferative activity, while its carboxamide derivatives $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 9}$ were only weakly active.

For $8-\mathrm{OH}$ derivatives, the $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{COMe}$ substituent is more active than their respective $4^{\prime}$-substituted couterparts (11, mean $\mathrm{GI}_{50}=1.20 \mu \mathrm{M}>9,14.45 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The same antiproliferative SAR was observed for oxime (15a, $2.88 \mu \mathrm{M}>13 \mathrm{a}, 10.71 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and methyloxime derivatives ( $\mathbf{1 5 b}, 5.50 \mu \mathrm{M}>\mathbf{1 3 b}, 16.59 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in which $3^{\prime}$-substituted derivatives are preferred. This antiproliferative SAR is not obvious for 8 -OMe derivatives in which $4^{\prime}$-substituted derivatives $(\mathbf{8}, 10.47 \mu \mathrm{M}$; 12a, $6.02 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathbf{1 2 b}, 4.26 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and their respective $3^{\prime}$-substituted counterparts (10, $8.91 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathbf{1 4 a}, 6.31 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathbf{1 4 b}, 6.02 \mu \mathrm{M})$ are comparable.

Two of the most active compounds 11 and 15a along with an inactive analog 16 were selected for evaluation of cell cycle progression (Table 3). Flow cytometric analyses indicated that $\mathbf{1 1}$ and 15a induced cell cycle arrest in S phase. This result is interesting because 2-phenylquinolone derivatives have been reported to be antimitotic agents which induced cell cycle arrest in $G_{2} / \mathrm{M}$ phase. Thus, a substituent such as anilino group at $C(4)$ position of 2-phenylquinoline altered the mode of pharmacological mechanism of antimitotic 2-phenylquinolone derivatives.

## 4. Conclusion

A number of 8 -substituted 4-anilino-2-phenylquinoline derivatives were synthesized and evaluated for their antiproliferative activities. The results are: (1) for $4^{\prime}$ -COMe-substituted derivatives, the antiproliferative activity decreased in an order of $6-\mathrm{OMe}(\mathbf{1}$, $3.89 \mu \mathrm{M})>8$-OMe $\quad(\mathbf{8}, \quad 10.47 \mu \mathrm{M})>8-\mathrm{OH} \quad(\mathbf{9}$, $14.45 \mu \mathrm{M})$; (2) for $3^{\prime}$-COMe derivatives, the antiproliferative activity of $8-\mathrm{OH}(11,1.20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ is more potent than its $8-\mathrm{OMe}$ counterpart $(10,8.91 \mu \mathrm{M})$; (3) for $8-\mathrm{OH}$ derivatives, the $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{COMe}$ derivative $(\mathbf{1 1}, 1.20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ is more active than its $4^{\prime}$-substituted isomer (9, $14.45 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Flow cytometric analyses indicated that 11 and 15a induced cell cycle arrest in S-phase. This result is interesting because 2-phenylquinolone derivatives have been reported to be antimitotic agents which induced cell cycle arrest in $\mathrm{G}_{2} / \mathrm{M}$ phase.

## 5. Experimental

### 5.1. General

TLC: precoated ( 0.2 mm ) silica gel 60 F 254 plates from EM Laboratories, Inc.; detection by UV light (254 nm). All chromatographic separations were performed using silica gel (Merck 60 230-400 mesh). Mp: Electrothermal IA9100 melting point apparatus; uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13}$ C NMR spectra: Varian-Unity- 400 spectrometer at 400 and 100 MHz , chemical shifts $\delta$ in ppm with $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}$ as an internal standard ( $=0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), coupling constants $J$ in hertz. Elemental analyses were carried out on a Heraeus CHN-O-Rapid elemental analyzer, and results were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of calculated values.
5.1.1. 2-[(2-Methoxyphenylamino)phenylmethylene]malonic acid diethyl ester (4). A mixture of ethyl 3-chloro-2-(ethoxycarbonyl)-3-phenylpropenoate (3) ( 2.84 g , $10 \mathrm{mmol})$, , -anisidine ( $1.48 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.95 \mathrm{~g}$, 14 mmol ), and dry DMF ( 50 mL ) was heated in $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h (TLC monitoring). The mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure and then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. This aqueous mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times$ $100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The crude oil thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (FC, silica gel; using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluent) to give 4 $(2.88 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \quad$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \quad \delta: 0.82 \quad(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.33\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.74(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.26\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.56-$ 6.72 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.26 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 11.12 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 13.41,14.36,55.12$, $60.08,61.16,97.05,110.66,115.41,119.32,121.09$, 128.12 (2C), 128.68 (2C), 129.20, 131.82, 136.85, 148.16, 161.65, 167.27, 168.44. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ : C, 68.27; H, 6.29; N, 3.79. Found: C, 68.19; H, 6.34; N, 3.68.
5.1.2. 8-Methoxy-2-phenyl-quinolin-4(1H)-one (5). A solution of $4(3.68 \mathrm{~g}, 9.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $260-280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h (TLC monitoring). The reaction mixture was cooled and then $n$-hexane $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and stirred at room temperature for 6 h . The resulting precipitate was collected, dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, added $\mathrm{NaOH}(4 \mathrm{~N}, 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was heated at reflux for 18 h . The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and neutralized with $20 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ solution. The resulting precipitate was collected and refluxed with $20 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ solution ( 200 mL ) for 5 h (TLC monitoring). The mixture was cooled to room temperature and the precipitate was collected, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and then crystallized from EtOH to give 5 ( $2.04 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ). Mp 170-171 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (lit., ${ }^{25} 168-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $4.03\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 8-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.54(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, Ar-H), $7.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-$ H), $8.84\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}\right.$ s, NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 56.07$, 108.90 , 110.56, 117.38, 123.18, 125.87, 126.44 (2C), 129.44 (2C), $130.65,130.77,134.55,147.75,148.40$, 178.81. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, $76.47 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.22$; N, 5.58. Found: C, 76.39; H, 5.57; N, 5.31.
5.1.3. 4-Chloro-8-methoxy-2-phenylquinoline (6). A mixture of $5(2.26 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{POCI}_{3}(27 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $80-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h (TLC monitoring). After cooling, the mixture was slowly poured into ice water $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and neutralized with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. The precipitate was collected, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and then crystallized from EtOH to give $6(2.30 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%) . \mathrm{Mp} \mathrm{100-101}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (lit., ${ }^{26}$ $\left.101-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 4.10\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 8-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $7.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.57(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ and $\operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 8.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, 3-H), 8.15-8.17 (2H, m, Ar-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : 56.32, 108.90, 115.64, 119.68, 126.41, 127.36, 127.58 (2C), 128.85 (2C), 129.62, 138.68, 140.97, 143.10, 155.69, 155.99. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClNO}$ : C, 71.24; H, 4.49; N, 5.19. Found: C, 71.11; H, 4.47; N, 5.20.
5.1.4. 4-Bromo-8-hydroxy-2-phenylquinoline (7). A mixture of $6(0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 24 h (TLC monitoring). After cooling, the mixture was neutralized with saturated NaOH aqueous. The precipitate was collected, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and then crystallized from EtOH to give $7(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$. Mp 121$122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \quad J=7.6$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.56(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.62$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 8.09-8.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, $8.18(1 \mathrm{H}$, s, $3-\mathrm{H}), 8.38(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s, $8-\mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 111.12,116.84,123.48,127.02,127.36$ (2C), 128.54, 128.96 (2C), 130.02, 134.97, 137.52, 138.39, 152.36, 154.72. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{BrNO}: \mathrm{C}, 60.02$; H, 3.36; N, 4.67. Found: C, 60.11; H, 3.40; N, 4.68.

### 5.1.5. 4-(4-Acetylanilino)-8-methoxy-2-phenylquinoline (8).

 A mixture of $6(0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}), 4$-aminoacetophenone $(0.41 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$, and pyridine $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in EtOH ( 20 mL ) was added to a flask, which was placed in a sealed steel bomb. The bomb was heated at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h (TLC monitoring). The resulting mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure and then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The precipitate was collected, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and then crystallized from EtOH to give $8(0.48 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%)$. Mp $147-148{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.60\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$, $4.03\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 8-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H})$, $7.40-7.51(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.91(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s, NH), 7.95-8.01 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $26.39,56.20,103.08,109.25,113.38,119.97$ (2C), 120.51, 126.11, 127.40 (2C), 128.94 (2C), 129.70, 129.86, 130.31 (2C), 132.42, 139.29, 145.01, 147.52, 153.82, 155.34, 196.71. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 1.8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 71.90$; H, 5.94; N, 6.99. Found: C, 72.11; H, 5.54; N, 6.83.5.1.6. 4-(4-Acetylanilino)-8-hydroxy-2-phenylquinoline (9). This compound was obtained from 7 and 4 -aminoacetophenone as described for $\mathbf{8}$ and was crystallized from EtOH in $77 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Mp} 175-176{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $2.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 6.91(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s, NH$), 7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.52(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.76(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 8.00-8.05(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 10.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, OH$)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 26.43,102.83,109.92,110.44$, 119.31 (2C), $119.54,126.69,127.27$ (2C), 128.81 (2C), $129.59,130.53$ (2C), 132.16, 139.05, 139.24, 145.05, $146.35, \quad 152.99, \quad 155.54,196.67$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 77.54 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.16 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.86$. Found: C, 77.27; H, 5.31; N, 7.89.
5.1.7. 4-(3-Acetylanilino)-8-methoxy-2-phenylquinoline (10). A mixture of $6(0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}), 3$-aminoacetophenone ( $0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and pyridine ( 0.5 mL ) in EtOH ( 20 mL ) was refluxed for 6 h (TLC monitoring). The mixture was then cooled and evaporated in vacuo to yield a yellow residue, treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the resulting precipitate was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The crude product was crystallized from EtOH to give $\mathbf{1 0}$ $(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%) . \mathrm{Mp} 200-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $2.62\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 4.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 8-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.65(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.78(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.96-8.03(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 9.48(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s, $\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 26.75, 56.08, 100.74, $108.98,113.10,119.73,121.90,124.28,125.67,126.89$, 127.38 (2C), 128.76 (2C), 129.57, 129.84, 130.24, 138.42, 138.54, 140.46, 149.12, 154.21, 155.48, 197.71. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 74.58 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.75 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.25$. Found: C, 74.60 ; H, 5.58; N, 7.09.
5.1.8. 4-(3-Acetylanilino)-8-hydroxy-2-phenylquinoline (11). This compound was obtained from 7 and 3-aminoacetophenone as described for $\mathbf{1 0}$ and was crystallized from EtOH in $82 \%$ yield. Mp 201-202 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 2.65 $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 7-H), 7.55-7.79 (7H, m, Ar-H), 7.89 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.99 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, Ar-H), $8.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}) 10.80(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s, OH$), 11.60(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s, $\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 28.85, 99.82, 112.57, $116.25,117.87,124.50,126.88,127.60,128.70$ (2C), 128.82 (2C), $129.58,130.36,131.35,132.68,137.77$, 138.28, 139.02, 148.37,152.97, 154.11, 197.30. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 77.54 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.16 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.86$. Found: C, 77.20; H, 5.14; N, 7.79.
5.1.9. ( $E$ )-4-[4-(1-Hydroxyiminoethyl)anilino]-8-methoxy-2-phenylquinoline (12a). A mixture of $8(0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.48 \mathrm{~g}$, 3.5 mmol ) in EtOH ( 10 mL ) was refluxed for 0.5 h (TLC monitoring). The mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure and then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resulting precipitate was collected, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and crystallized from EtOH to give $\mathbf{1 2 a}(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%)$. Mp 235$236{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): 2.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $4.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=7.6,7-\mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.64(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, Ar-H), $7.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-$ $\mathrm{H}), 10.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 11.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NOH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $11.30,56.21,100.18,111.31,114.06$, 118.74, 123.09 (2C), 126.12, 126.71 (2C), 127.98 (2C), 128.62 (2C), $130.21,133.71,135.90,138.59$, 139.20, 147.68, 151.22, 152.24, 153.94. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 73.78, \mathrm{H}, 5.64 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.75$. Found: C, 73.77 ; H, 5.63 ; N, 10.57.

The same procedure was used to convert each compound 9,10 , and 11 to 13a, 14a, and 15a, respectively.
5.1.10. (E)-8-Hydroxy-4-[4-(1-hydroxyiminoethyl)anili-nol-2-phenylquinoline (13a). Yield $75 \%$. Mp $107-108^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): 2.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $7.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6,7-\mathrm{H}), 7.437-7.50(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.58$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $5-\mathrm{H}), 8.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 9.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 10.62(1 \mathrm{H}$,
br s, OH), $11.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NOH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 11.38, 99.34, 111.13, 111.97, 119.48, 121.57 (2C), 125.47, 126.68 (2C), 127.26 (2C), 128.59 (2C), 129.26, 132.01, 138.52, 138.80, 141.04, 148.50, 152.43, 152.95, 154.30. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 1.7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 69.04, \mathrm{H}, 5.65$; $\mathrm{N}, 10.50$. Found: C, $69.01 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.51 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.35$.
5.1.11. ( $E$ )-4-[3-(1-Hydroxyiminoethyl)anilino]-8-methoxy-2-phenylquinoline (14a). Purified by flash column chromatography ( FC , silica gel; using $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1 / 30$ as the eluent) to give $\mathbf{1 4 a}$ in $93 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Mp} 201-202{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $2.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.00$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.56$ $(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.00-8.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 9.02(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s, NH), 11.27 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NOH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 11.55, 55.80, 99.40, 109.11, 113.51, 119.03, 119.99, $120.86,122.19,124.84,126.95$ (2C), 128.64 (2C), 128.97, $129.48,138.20,139.82,140.80,140.87,148.40,152.67$, 154.94, 155.59. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : C, 74.46; H, 5.58; N, 10.86. Found: C, 74.42; H, 5.82; N, 10.49 .
5.1.12. (E)-8-Hydroxy-4-[3-(1-hydroxyiminoethyl)anilino]-2-phenylquinoline (15a). Yield $75 \%$. Mp $148-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $(\mathrm{EtOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 2.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $6.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.81(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), $8.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 10.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$, $11.38(1 \mathrm{H}$, br $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NOH}), 13.20(1 \mathrm{H}$, br $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $11.45, ~ 99.22,112.38,114.35,118.36$, $123.03,124.09,126.60,128.10$ (2C), 128.64 (2C), 128.92, $129.70,130.46,138.42,138.57,139.37,140.52,140.69$, $150.18,152.32,153.29$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 1.2-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 70.63, \mathrm{H}, 5.53$; N, 10.75. Found: C, 70.55; H, 5.36; N, 10.61.
5.1.13. (E)-8-Methoxy-4-[4-(1-methoxyiminoethyl)anilinol-2-phenylquinoline (12b). A mixture of $\mathbf{8}(0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, $O$-methylhydroxylamine $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 0.5 h (TLC monitoring). The mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure and then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resulting precipitate was collected, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and then crystallized from EtOH to give $\mathbf{1 2 b}(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%)$. Mp 181-182 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $2.20(3 \mathrm{H}$, s, $\left.(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.92\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.00\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.52(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, $7.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), $8.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 9.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 12.12, 55.82, 61.51, 100.39, 109.12, 113.57, 119.86, 120.83 (2C), 124.96, 127.03 (2C), 127.69 (2C), 128.63 (2C), 129.80, 130.23, 139.80, 140.92, 142.10, 147.71, 153.57, 155.02, 155.65. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 74.85 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.89 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.47$. Found: C, 74.82; H, 6.07; N, 10.26.

The same procedure was used to convert each compounds 9,10 , and 11 , to $13 \mathrm{~b}, \mathbf{1 4 b}$, and $\mathbf{1 5 b}$, respectively.
5.1.14. ( E)-8-Hydroxy-4-[4-(1-methoxyiminoethyl)anili-nol-2-phenylquinoline (13b). Yield $70 \%$. Mp $154-155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $(\mathrm{EtOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $3.93\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.40$
$(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, NH), $7.45-7.54(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, Ar-H), $7.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 8.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), $9.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 12.13, 61.57, $99.78,112.22$ (2C), 112.88, 119.06, 122.43, 126.23, 127.13 (2C), 127.83 (2C), 128.66 (2C), 129.77, 129.99, $131.85,140.53,150.14,151.47,151.95,153.47,153.87$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 1.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 70.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.88$; N, 10.28. Found: C, 70.34; H, 5.62; N, 10.09.
5.1.15. (E)-8-Methoxy-4-[3-(1-methoxyiminoethyl)anili-nol-2-phenylquinoline (14b). Yield $80 \%$. Mp $163-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $(\mathrm{EtOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.24\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $4.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.07\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.44(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, Ar-H), $7.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.01-8.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, $9.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 12.65,56.15$, $62.05,101.05,108.29,111.48,119.71,119.96,122.02$, 122.76, 125.33, 127.59 (2C), 128.56 (2C), 128.99, 129.71, $138.35,139.24,140.21,140.34,147.89,154.10,155.96$, 156.76. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 74.85$; H, 5.89; N, 10.48. Found: C, 74.90 ; H, 6.03 ; N, 10.15 .
5.1.16. ( $E$ )-8-Hydroxy-4-[3-(1-methoxyiminoethyl)anili-nol-2-phenylquinoline (15b). Yield $82 \%$. Mp $107-108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $(\mathrm{EtOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $3.97\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.49(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, Ar-H), $7.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-$ H), $9.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s, NH), 10. $79\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s, OH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 12.58,62.03,99.13,112.84,115.28$, $118.03,121.60,123.89,124.76,126.87,127.36$ (2C), 129.29 (2C), $129.85,131.08,133.20,134.75,138.25$, 138.52, 149.35, 152.62, 152.73, 153.82. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 1.7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 70.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.90 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.24$. Found: C, 69.95; H, 5.58; N, 0.03.

### 5.1.17. 4-(8-Methoxy-2-phenylquinolin-4-ylamino)benzoic

 acid (16). Obtained from 6 and 4 -aminobenzoic acid as described for 9 and purified by FC ( $\mathrm{MeOH} /$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1 / 10$ ) to give $\mathbf{1 6}$ in $52 \%$ yield. Mp 236$237{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): 4.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $7.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.53(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), $7.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, ~ J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H})$, $7.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 9.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s , NH) $12.60\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s, COOH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 55.86, 102.55, 109.21, 113.78, 118.92 (2C), $120.95,124.03,125.30,127.15$ (2C), 128.69 (2C), $129.14,131.11$ (2C), 139.62, 141.04, 146.00, 146.85, 155.01, 155.70, 167.18. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.6-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 72.46$; H, 5.09; N, 7.35. Found: C, 72.33; H, 5.18; N, 7.28.5.1.18. $N$-(2-Dimethylaminoethyl)-4-(8-methoxy-2-phenyl-quinolin-4-ylamino)benzamide (17). A mixture of 16 $(0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and CDI $(0.97 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred in dry DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature for 2 h . To this mixture was added $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylethylenediamine $(0.61 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for a further 24 h (TLC monitoring). The volatile components were then removed in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 80 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to provide the crude product, which was purified by $\mathrm{FC}\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1 / 5\right)$ to give

17 ( $0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ). Mp 213-214 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $2.20\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.43\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $3.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCFb}), 4.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 8-$ $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.53(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.07$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CONH}), 9.14$ ( 1 H, br $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 37.32, 45.24 (2C), $55.82,58.28,101.13,109.14,113.62,119.78$ (2C), $120.52,125.08,127.04$ (2C), $128.39,128.63$ (2C), 128.68 (2C), 129.04, 139.71, 140.97, 144.01, 147.36, 154.98, 155.67, 165.64. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$, 73.00; H, 6.46; N, 12.62. Found: C, 73.27; H, 6.67; N, 12.36 .
5.1.19. $N$-[2-(2-Hydroxyethylamino)ethyl]-4-(8-methoxy-2-phenylquinolin-4-ylamino)benzamide (18). Obtained from 16 and $N$-(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine as described for 17. The crude product was purified by FC $\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1 / 5\right)$ to give $\mathbf{1 8}$ in $51 \%$ yield. Mp $117-119{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): 2.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 2.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 3.36(2 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=11.6,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.47\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)$, $4.00\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 8-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}$ and NH$)$, $7.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.53(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, ~ A r-$ H), $7.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.06(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CONH}), 9.15(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s, NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 40.76, 48.14, 50.96, 55.27, 59.91, 101.10, 109.15, 113.62, 119.77 (2C), $120.51,125.05,127.02$ (2C), $128.51,128.61$ (2C), 128.70 (2C), 129.02, 139.70, 140.98, 143.98, 147.37, 154.97, 155.65, 165.82. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 1.3-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 67.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.44 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.67$. Found: C, 67.31; H, 6.44; N, 11.56.
5.1.20. 4-(8-Methoxy-2-phenylquinolin-4-ylamino)- $N$-(2-piperazin-l-ylethyl)benzamide (19). Obtained from 16 and 1-(2-aminoethyl)piperazine described for 17. The crude product was purified by $\mathrm{FC}\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1 /\right.$ 5) to give 19 in $52 \%$ yield. Mp $125-126^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $2.36(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, piperazinyl-H), $2.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.70(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, piperazinylH), $3.38\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $4.00\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 8-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.21(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, ~ J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.52(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, ~ A r-H), 7.69$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 7.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, Ar-H), $8.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, Ar-H), $8.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CONH}), 9.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 37.12 (2C), 46.03, 54.71, 56.26 (2C), 58.33, 101.58, 109.58, 114.07, 120.22 (2C), 120.97, 125.52, 127.48 (2C), 128.88, 129.07 (2C), 129.11 (2C), $129.49,140.16,141.41,144.45,147.80$, 155.42, 156.11, 166.08. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 1.1$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 69.45 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.69$; N, 13.96. Found: C, $69.25 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.77; N, 13.60.

### 5.2. Cell cycle analysis

Flow cytometry was used to measure cell cycle profile and apoptosis. For cell cycle analysis, K-562 cell treated with compounds ( 3 and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for 24 h was harvested by centrifugation. After being washed with PBS, the cell was fixed with ice-cold $70 \%$ ethanol for 30 min , washed with PBS, and then treated with 1 mL of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ of

RNase A solution at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 5 min and further stained with $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DNA staining solution $(10 \mathrm{mg}$ propidium iodide $[\mathrm{PI}], 0.1 \mathrm{mg}$ trisodium citrate, and 0.03 mL Triton X-100 dissolved in $100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) at room temperature for 30 min in the dark. After loading $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ PBS, the DNA contents of 10,000 events were measured by FACScan (Elite ESP, Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA) and the cell cycle profile was analyzed from the DNA content histograms by using WinCycle software. When cells were apoptotic, the containing DNA was digested by endonuclease and then the sub $G_{1}$ pick appeared. The percentage in sub $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ was analyzed by gating on cell cycle dot blots using Windows Multiple Document Interface software (WinMDI).
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